PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference J 10018 PCT International application No. PCT/EP 03/14844			FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
			International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
			23.12.2003		23.12.2002		
temation 07C25		ssification (IPC) or b	both national classification a	nd IPC			
pplicant ERINI A	- AG et al.	**************************************	######################################				
			amination report has been e applicant according to		s International Preliminary Examining		
This	DEBORT 4		of 7 abouts including th	is sover sheet			
. This	SHEPUHIC	onsists of a total	of 7 sheets, including th	is cover sneet.			
	been ame	nded and are the	anied by ANNEXES, i.e. s basis for this report and on 607 of the Administrati	br sheets contain	cription, claims and/or drawings which have ning rectifications made before this Authorit nder the PCT).		
The	se annexes	consist of a total	of sheets.				
Part arm - 15 ton - 25 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	HILLIAN HAVE AND	nearwood and a second and the second as second	nead an earlie 30 20 20 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 				
3. This	report cont	aine indicatione r	elating to the following ite	ame.			
. 11112	_		elating to the following the	ins.			
li.	_	is of the opinion					
11)		•	oninion with regard to no	welty inventive s	eton and industrial applicability		
IV D Lack of unity of inventic			of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
			ntion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; ations supporting such statement				
VI	_	ain documents ci	**	acine in			
VII			international application				
VIII			on the international appli	cation			
lote of suit	omission of th	no damand		Date of completion	n of this report		
Jake of Suc	Jiii33i0ii 0i iii	e demand		outo or completion			
08.07.20	004			17.01.2005			
	mailing addre	ess of the internation	nal	Authorized Officer			
	_	Patent Office		Romano-Götse	ch B		
<i>9</i>))	Tel. +49 8	39 2399 - 0 Tx: 523	656 epmu d				
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465				Telephone No. +4	9 89 2399-8874		

JC20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 2 2 JUN 2005

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/14844

I. Basi	s of the	report
---------	----------	--------

With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	scription, Pages							
	1-1	30	as originally filed						
	Cla	ims, Numbers							
		,	as originally filed						
	Dra	wings, Sheets							
	1/8-	-8/8	as originally filed						
With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this it									
	The	ese elements were av	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:						
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).						
		the language of pub	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).						
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).						
3.			eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
		contained in the inte	ernational application in written form.						
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.						
		☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.							
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.							
		The statement that t in the international a	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.						
		The statement that the listing has been furn	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence sished.						
4.	The	amendments have r	resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description,	pages:						
		the claims,	Nos.:						
		the drawings,	sheets:						

5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).							
		(Any replacement sheet conta report.)	ining s	such amendn	nents must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this				
6.	Add	litional observations, if necessa	iry:						
III.	Nor	n-establishment of opinion w	ith reg	ard to nove	lty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
1.	The obvi	ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ovious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
	☐ the entire international application,								
	☑ claims Nos. 1-87 (part)								
		pecause:							
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 85-86 relate to the following subject mades not require an international preliminary examination (specify):								
		see separate sheet							
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said clathat no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					cular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear cify):				
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinic could be formed.							
	Ø	no international search report	has be	een establish	ed for the said claims Nos. 1-87 (part)				
2.	or a	eaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and mino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative ructions:							
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.							
		the computer readable form ha	as not	been furnish	ed or does not comply with the Standard.				
٧.		teasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; itations and explanations supporting such statement							
1.	Stat	ratement							
	Nov	relty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	18				
	Inventive step (IS)			Claims Claims	1-87				
	Indu	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-84, 87, (85-86 no opinion)				

2. Citations and explanations

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/14844

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Present claims 1-87 have not been searched completely (see Search Report). According to Rule 66.1(e) PCT, the subject-matter for which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination. Consequently, the opinion below is directed to the part of claims 1-87 which have been searched, corresponding to the compounds of pp. 82-122.

The Applicant's attention is also directed to the fact that claims 85 and 86 are directed to a method of treatment of the animal body, i.e. they contain subject-matter which no International Authority shall be required to examine (Rule 67.1(iv) PCT). Consequently, an opinion in respect to the industrial applicability of said claim is not established in the present written opinion.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: WO-A-00/27811

Novelty

The present application does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT because the subject-matter of claims 18 is not novel.

Claim 18 is directed to "a compound". The fact that preferably that the compound is according to claims 1-17 with the structure formula (I) is not relevant, being this an optional feature.

D1 describes derivatives of pyridinemethyl diamide and carbamate and their activity as inhibitors of the rotamase FKBP12. Thus D1 anticipates the novelty of claim 18 as presently drafted. The compounds disclosed in D1 are structurally different from the ones of claims 1-17, 19-87 file.

2. Inventive step

The present application does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(3) PCT.

Art. 33(3) PCT requires the proposed solution for a given technical problem not to be obvious to a skilled person departing from the state of the art.

If the inventive step of a claimed invention is based on a given technical effect, the latter should, in principle, be achievable over the whole area claimed.

In view of D1, the technical problem which the present application addresses is the provision of further chemical compounds with inhibitory rotamase activity.

The proposed solution are the compounds of present claims 1-33 (especially claim 18 as

presently drafted).

To solve the technical problem, all the claimed compounds need to possess this inhibitory activity, being this technical effect the sole possible reason for the inventiveness of the compounds on file.

The examples on file (Table pp.126-129) provide evidence of inhibitory rotamase activity only for some of the compounds falling under the scope of present claims 1-87 and for some of the enzymes tested (namely T-1 and T-5).

It is well known that enzymes are extremely specific in the recognition of substrates, due to the strict steric requirements of the enzymes binding sites. Any even minimal structural modification can turn down the activity of a potent inhibitor or viceversa (compare for example the % inhibition of compounds 26 and 43 on Table 2 of D1 (p.62)). Furthermore, a potent inhibitor for one enzyme, could be a bad inhibitor for another enzyme.

Therefore, the evidence of the Table on p. 126 on file cannot be regarded as sufficient evidence to lead to the inference that substantially all the claimed compounds and "prodrug thereof" (whatever is meant by it) inhibit all rotamase enzymes, because it is not credible that substantially all compounds on file possess the claimed inhibitory activity. Therefore the requirements of Art.33(3) PCT are not met. This is even more true for those compounds that are undefined such as the "prodrugs" of the claimed compounds recited in the claims (see for examples claims 1,27,33,61,63, etc.).

Industrial Applicability

Turning to the question whether claims 85 and 86 are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not regard as industrially applicable claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, however will allow claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Lack of Support

Claims 38-62 are directed to the use of the compounds on file for the manufacture of a medicament for the treatment/prevention of a series of pathologies. While it is well known in the art that rotamases are involved in cell proliferation and neurodegenerative processes, there is no evidence on file to support that the presently claimed compounds are effective antiviral, antiparasite and antifungal agents, or are effective against gynecolgical and dermatological infections, stroke, inflammatoray diseases, immune based disorders, heart diseases, cardiovascular or heart diseases (claims 40, 42-43). Nor there is evidence on file that the claimed compounds are effective against any of the specific disorders listed in claims 41, 44-62. As a matter of fact the application provides no data at all to support any therapeutical application.

It follows that the subject-matter of claims 38-62 is speculative and thus fails to meet the requirements of Art. 6 PCT.